

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,164	10/21/2005	Akira Kurome	1141/75380 6583	
23432 COOPER & D	7590 05/16/2007 LINHAM LLP	EXAMINER		INER
1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			VAUGHN, MEGANN E	
NEW YORK,	NEW YORK, NY 10036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2859	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

 		Amplication No.	Applicant/a)		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/554,164	KUROME ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Megann E. Vaughn	2859		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	•				
1) 🖾 🖡	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Fe</u>	ebruary 2007.			
2a)⊠ ¯	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) 🗌 🤄	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	on of Claims				
5) \(\begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 5) \(\begin{array}{c} \epsilon \\ 6) \(\begin{array}{c} \epsilon \\ 7) \(\begin{array}{c} \epsilon \\ \epsi	Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application. (a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,6-13,18-20,22,27-29,34 and 35 is. Claim(s) 4,5,14-17,21,23-28 and 30-33 is/are of the company of the	vn from consideration. /are rejected. objected to.			
Application	on Papers				
10)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the bed drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/554,164 Page 2

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 2/20/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments on page 13 of the Remarks that the holding element 9 disclosed by Harvey '056 cannot act to reinforce the rigidity of the housing and therefore be considered the rigid reinforcing member, and that the holding element 9 is not provided on the side confronting the face on the imaging space side of the housing, are not persuasive. Harvey '056 discloses that the combination between holding element 9 and damping elements 10, both of which are disposed between the housing and gradient coil and therefore on the side confronting the face on the imaging space side of the closed vessel/housing, provides rigidity and prevents the transmission of oscillations between the gradient coils and the housing (page 3, [0031]-[0032]) and therefore can be considered the rigid reinforcing member/structure claimed by the applicant. Further, the rigid reinforcing member disclosed by the applicant and the holding element and damping elements can both be considered a rigid structure provided on the side confronting the face on the imaging space side of the closed vessel/housing, their intended use (i.e. for preventing transmission of vibration generated by the gradient magnetic field generating part to other members via the closed vessel) is not given patentable weight.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7, 9-13, and 18-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 6, 8, 22, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Harvey et al (US 2005/0083056).

Regarding claims 1, 22, and 29, Harvey et al discloses in figure 1 a magnetic resonance imaging apparatus comprising a pair of static magnetic field generating sources (2; page 2, [0026]) opposingly disposed on both sides of an imaging space (see figure) and a gradient magnetic field generating part (4) disposed on the imaging space side of each static magnetic field generating source (see figure) for applying a gradient magnetic field to the imaging space, wherein the static magnetic field generating sources each comprise a magnetic field generating coil (2) and a closed vessel (3) enclosing the magnetic field generating coil inside (page 2, [0026]), the gradient magnetic field generating part is supported on a face of the closed vessel on the imaging space side, the closed vessel has a rigid structure (8), and the rigid structure has a rigid reinforcing member (9,10) provided on the side confronting the face on the imaging space side of the closed vessel.

The limitation "for preventing transmission of vibration generated by the gradient magnetic field generating part to other members via the closed vessel" is directed to the intended use of the claimed closed vessel and therefore, the intended use of the apparatus does not provide enough patentable weight since it has been held that a

Application/Control Number: 10/554,164 Page 4

Art Unit: 2859

recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex Parte Masham, 2 USPQ F.2d 1647* (1987).

Regarding claims 2 and 35, Harvey et al discloses in figure 1 that the rigid structure (3) has at least one connecting part (9, 10) connecting a face on the imaging space side and a face confronting the face of the closed vessel inside the closed vessel (page 3, [0031]; see figure).

Regarding claim 3, Harvey et al discloses in figure 1 that the rigid reinforcing member (9, 10) is fixed on the face confronting the face on the imaging space side of the closed vessel (page 3, [0031]).

Regarding claim 6, Harvey et al discloses in figure 1 fixing means (9a, 9b) for fixing the gradient magnetic field generating part (4) to the closed vessel (3, 8), and wherein the gradient magnetic field generating part is closely contacted with the closed vessel by the fixing means (page 3, [0031]).

Regarding claim 8, Harvey et al discloses in figure 1 that the closed vessel (3) has a second recess (11) in the face confronting the face on the imaging space side, and the rigid reinforcing member (9) is disposed inside the second recess (page 3, [0031]).

Application/Control Number: 10/554,164 Page 5

Art Unit: 2859

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 6, 7, 9-13, 18-20, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harvey et al (US 2005/0068032) in view of Harvey et al (US 2005/0083056).

Regarding claim 1, Harvey et al discloses in figures 1 and 2 a magnetic resonance imaging apparatus comprising a pair of static magnetic field generating sources opposingly disposed on both sides of an imaging space (page 2, [0020], lines 8-22, and [0021], lines 9-10) and a gradient magnetic field generating part (9, 10, 29, 30) disposed on the imaging space side of each static magnetic field generating source (see figures) for applying a gradient magnetic field to the imaging space, wherein the static magnetic field generating sources each comprise a magnetic field generating coil and a closed vessel enclosing the magnetic field generating coil inside (page 2, [0020]), the gradient magnetic field generating part (9, 10, 29, 30) is supported on a face of the closed vessel (2, 3; 22, 23) on the imaging space side (6; 26; claim 1). Regarding claims 2 and 3, Harvey et al discloses in figures 1 and 2 that the rigid structure has at least one connecting part or rigid reinforcing member connecting a face on the imaging space side and a face confronting the face of the closed vessel inside the closed vessel (page 3, [0023], lines 2-6).

Harvey et al does not disclose that the rigid structure has a rigid reinforcing member provided on the side confronting the face of the imaging space side of the closed vessel.

Harvey et al '056 discloses an MRI apparatus in figure 1 wherein the rigid structure (8) has rigid reinforcing members (9,10) provided on the side confronting the face of the imaging space side (6) of the closed vessel. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made for Harvey et al to place rigid reinforcing members between the closed vessel and gradient coil as taught by Harvey '056 in order to increase overall rigidity of the apparatus and to secure the gradient coil in such a way that vibrations are decreased between the gradient coil and closed vessel as taught by Harvey '056 (page 3, [0031]-[0032]).

Regarding claim 6, Harvey et al discloses fixing means for fixing the gradient magnetic field generating part to the closed vessel, and wherein the gradient magnetic field generating part is integrated with the closed vessel by the fixing means (page 3, [0023], lines 2-6).

Regarding claims 7 and 34, Harvey et al discloses in figures 1 and 2 that each closed vessel (2, 3; 22, 23) has a first recess (7, 8; 27, 28; page 2, [0020]-[0021]) provided for the face on the imaging space side (see figure), the connecting part comprises one or more through-holes (4, 5; 24, 25) provided inside so as to penetrate from the face on the imaging space side to the face confronting the foregoing face, each gradient magnetic field generating part (9, 10; 29, 30) is fixed in the first recess (page 2,

Application/Control Number: 10/554,164

Art Unit: 2859

[0020]-[0021]), and in at least one of the through-holes, cables (13, 14; 33, 34) for gradient magnetic field for supplying a current to the gradient magnetic field generating part are disposed (page 2, [0020]-[0021]).

Regarding claim 9, Harvey et al discloses in figures 1 and 2 that the throughholes (4, 5; 24, 25) are provided near the side face of the first recess (7, 8; 27, 28).

Regarding claim 10, Harvey et al discloses that the through-hole (4, 5; 24, 25) is provided at an approximate center of the first recess (7, 8; 27, 28) (see figure).

Regarding claim 11, Harvey et al discloses that the rigid reinforcing member is provided with one pathway communicating with the through-holes in the inside thereof, and the cables for gradient magnetic field are disposed in the pathway (page 2, [0020]).

Regarding claim 12, Harvey et al discloses in figures 1 and 2, a means for circulating a refrigerant for cooling the gradient magnetic field generating part, and wherein the gradient magnetic field generating part is provided with a means for passing the refrigerant inside, and piping (15, 16; 35, 36) for supplying the refrigerant to the gradient magnetic field generating part is disposed in at least one of the through-holes (4, 5; 24, 25) and a pathway communicating therewith (see figures).

Regarding claim 13, Harvey et al discloses in figures 1 and 2 a pair of high frequency magnetic field generating parts (11, 12; 31, 32) for generating a high frequency magnetic field in the imaging space, and wherein each of the pair of high frequency magnetic field generating parts is disposed on the imaging space side with respect to the gradient magnetic field generating part (see figures), and in at least one of the through-holes and a pathway communicating therewith, cables for high frequency

Art Unit: 2859

magnetic field for supplying a current to the high frequency magnetic field generating part are disposed (page 2, [0023], line 1- page 3, [0023], line 1).

Regarding claim 18, Harvey et al discloses that the through-hole has an opening inside the first recess, the gradient magnetic field generating part has a current receiving terminal at a position of the opening of the through-hole, the cables for gradient magnetic field have a current supplying terminal, and the current supplying terminal is connected to the current receiving terminal (page 2, [0020], second column, lines 3-10).

Regarding claim 19, Harvey et al discloses that the cables for gradient magnetic field is fixed in the through-hole with a fixing member (page 2, [0020], second column, lines 5-15).

Regarding claim 20, Harvey et al discloses that the through-hole has an opening inside the first recess, the gradient magnetic field generating part has a refrigerant receiving terminal at a position of the opening of the through-hole, the piping has a refrigerant supplying terminal, and the refrigerant receiving terminal is connected to the refrigerant supplying terminal (page 2, [0020], second column, lines 15-23).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 4, 5, 14-17, 21, 23-28, and 30-33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Application/Control Number: 10/554,164

Art Unit: 2859

Claims 4-5, 23-28, and 33 are allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not teach or disclose a magnetic resonance imaging apparatus comprising a rigid reinforcing member comprising at least one of a plate member, in combination with the remaining limitations of the claims.

Claims 14-17 are allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not teach or disclose a magnetic resonance imaging apparatus comprising three or more of the through-holes provided in the closed vessel, and the cables for gradient magnetic field, the cables for high frequency magnetic field and the piping are disposed individually in the different through-holes and the different pathways communicating therewith, in combination with the remaining limitations of the claims.

Claim 21 is allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not teach or disclose a magnetic resonance apparatus wherein the piping, the refrigerant supplying terminal and the refrigerant receiving terminal are constituted with a conductive material, so that they serve also as the cables for gradient magnetic field supplying an electric current to the gradient magnetic field generating part, in combination with the remaining limitations of the claims.

Claims 30-32 are allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not teach or disclose a magnetic resonance apparatus wherein each rigid reinforcing member of the closed vessel has a different reinforcing structure.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably

Art Unit: 2859

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Megann E. Vaughn whose telephone number is 571-272-8927. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am- 5 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,164

Art Unit: 2859

Page 11

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez can be reached on 571-272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MEV Patent Examiner Art Unit 2859 5/11/2007

> BRIJ SHRIVASTAV PRIMARY EXAMINER